Decadal topographic change in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of Antarctica: Thermokarst subsidence, glacier thinning, and transfer of water storage from the cryosphere to the hydrosphere
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A B S T R A C T
Recent local-scale observations of glaciers, streams, and soil surfaces in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of Antarctica (MDV) have documented evidence for rapid ice loss, glacial thinning, and ground surface subsidence associated with melting of ground ice. To evaluate the extent, magnitude, and location of decadal-scale landscape change in the MDV, we collected airborne lidar elevation data in 2014–2015 and compared these data to a 2001–2002 airborne lidar campaign. This regional assessment of elevation change spans the recent acceleration of warming and melting observed by long-term meteorological and ecosystem response experiments, allowing us to assess the response of MDV surfaces to warming and potential thawing feedbacks. We find that locations of thermokarst subsidence are strongly associated with the presence of excess ground ice and with proximity to surface or shallow subsurface (active layer) water. Subsidence occurs across soil types and landforms, in low-lying, low-slope areas with impeded drainage and also high on steep valley walls. Glacier thinning is widespread and is associated with the growth of fine-scale roughness. Pond levels are rising in most closed-basin lakes in the MDV, across all microclimate zones. These observations highlight the continued importance of insolation-driven melting in the MDV. The regional melt pattern is consistent with an overall transition of water storage from the local cryosphere (glaciers, permafrost) to the hydrosphere (closed basin lakes and ponds as well as the Ross Sea). We interpret this regional melting pattern to reflect a transition to Arctic and alpine-style, hydrologically mediated permafrost and glacial melt.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

Changes to Earth’s terrestrial cryosphere (glaciers, snow, permafrost, and ground ice) can rapidly reorganize landscapes, leading to changes in energy balance, runoff, and ecosystem functioning. These perturbations to topography drive further changes in glacial and ground ice stability, generating positive feedbacks in frozen landscapes. For example, lidar and topographic studies of glacier surfaces in Arctic and alpine regions have shown that ablation can drive sediment mobilization and enhance melting (Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011), roughening of supraglacial channels can lead to enhanced melting (Rippin et al., 2015), and steepening of exposed glacier surfaces can enhance ablation and melt at low sun angles (Mölg, 2004). Hydrological and sediment mobilization feedbacks are thought to be disintegrating the terminal regions of several Antarctic glaciers (Fountain et al., 2014).

Such positive melting feedbacks are also associated with permafrost degradation, where changes in drainage, the geometry of thermokarst ponds, channel stability, and active layer thermal properties can rapidly alter the distribution of soils, ice, and carbon (Jorgenson et al., 2006; Gooseff et al., 2011; Arp et al., 2015; Kanevskiy et al., 2016; Levy and Schmidt, 2016; Strauss et al., 2016). Hydrologically mediated melting of ground ice has led to thermokarst subsidence over broad study areas containing continuous permafrost. For example, ~35% of tussock tundra sites in the Eight Mile Lake, a research watershed in Alaska, have experienced water-mediated subsurface thaw and subsidence (Belshe et al., 2013) similar to widespread thawing impacts at permafrost research sites in Siberia (Czudek and Demek, 1970).

In the Arctic, mechanisms driving permafrost degradation are well-understood and include i) surface warming caused by warmer air
temperatures, ii) reduction in albedo and ponding of surface waters, which is most pronounced in glacial drifts and eolian soils (Farquharson et al., 2016), and iii) land cover disturbance (e.g., Shur and Jorgenson, 2007). The magnitude and pattern of thermokarst subsidence is strongly controlled by where ground ice is abundant and subject to melt (cryolithology) (French and Shur, 2010). In addition, enhanced thermokarst erosion occurs in lower-slope watersheds where ponding occurs, implying surface slope may play a controlling role in subsidence (Farquharson et al., 2016). Finally, landscape age plays a key role in the distribution of Arctic thermokarst-enhanced thermokarst reworking is most prevalent on older landscapes (Jorgenson and Shur, 2007). Surface- and groundwater mediated permafrost thaw are hypothesized to now be occurring in the ice-free polar deserts of Antarctica (Schmidt and Levy, 2017).

In contrast to rapidly changing Arctic and alpine cryosphere landscapes, Antarctica’s largest ice-sheet-free region, the McMurdo Dry Valleys (MDV) (Fig. 1), has been mostly shielded from abrupt change due continually cool, and in cases cooling, air temperatures during the 1990s to early 2000s (Doran et al., 2002a; Shindell and Schmidt, 2004). Counterintuitively, during this period, solar radiation had been increasing significantly (Fountain et al., 2014; Obryk et al., 2018). A notable summer thaw in 2001–2002 coincided with a pivot in the cooling/brightening climate trajectory; since 2002, summer air temperatures and elevated solar flux (Fig. 2) have remained largely constant, leading

---

**Fig. 1.** Context map and hillshade of the lidar data set over Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica (LIMA) data. Features of interest for the erosion/volume-change analyses are highlighted in black. Note, feature area has been exaggerated one pixel to enhance visibility. Feature area and GIS shapefiles are available as supplementary files. Dot marked F5 indicates the location of Fig. 6. Dot marked F4 indicates the location of soil roughness analyses shown in Fig. 5. LB indicates Lake Bonney. SG indicates Seuss Glacier.
to lake ice thinning (Obryk et al., 2016a), lake level rise, and enhanced glacier runoff (Gooseff et al., 2017). These physical changes have precipitated biotic and hydrological ecosystem responses to regional warming and thawing (Fountain et al., 2016a, 2016b; Obryk et al., 2016b; Gooseff et al., 2017).

Fountain et al. (2014) identified three major classes of landscape change in the MDV that are inferred to result from this recent pulse of warming: i) disintegration and thinning of glacier surfaces, ii) formation and expansion of thermokarst slumps and ponds, and iii) the generation of stream-channel thermokarst through bank undercutting. The commonality between all these landscape changes is the interaction between sediment and ice acting as a catalyst for melting. Fountain et al. (2014) hypothesized that changes in energy budget and melting of ice altered surface topography, which led to subsequent changes to downstream hydrology and biogeochemistry. More specifically, they suggested that i) enhanced solar radiation was warming low albedo sediment, despite then-present atmospheric cooling, leading to melting of subsurface ice and dirty glacial ice; and ii) glacial meltwater flowing over the dark soils increased subsurface heat content leading to rapid thermal erosion of ice-cemented permafrost and massive subsurface ice. Fountain et al. (2014) argued that the landscape response to this insolation-driven warming of sediment mimics the expected soil warming caused by regional climate warming in the coming decades (Arblaster and Meehl, 2006; Chapman and Walsh, 2007).

Plot-scale field observations suggest that in specific locations the MDV are in the midst of rapid landscape change (Levy et al., 2013a; Dickson et al., 2015; Gooseff et al., 2016; Sudman et al., 2017). The Wright Lower Glacier (Wright Valley) has deflated several tens of meters, the Garwood River (Garwood Valley) has incised >3 m into massive ice permafrost, and on Canada Glacier (Taylor Valley) >4 m canyons have formed (Fountain et al., 2014). Beginning in 2011–2012, thermokarst slumps appeared around streams and lakes in Taylor Valley for the first time since continuous observations of Taylor Valley began in 1993 (Fountain et al., 2014).

Here, we report on first results from an airborne lidar campaign conducted over the MDV in 2014–2015 (Fountain et al., 2017), which
collected ground, glacier, and lake surface elevation data in order to evaluate the extent, magnitude, and location of landscape change in the MDV relative to a 2001–2002 airborne lidar campaign (Schenk et al., 2004; Csatho et al., 2005). This regional assessment of elevation change captures the decadal response of the landscape to summertime melting conditions since 2001–2002, which spans the recent increase and leveling off of solar radiation and glacier melt and runoff observed by long-term meteorological records and ecosystem response experiments (Gooseff et al., 2017). These observations allow us to provide an overview of the regional response of MDV surfaces to warming and potential thawing feedbacks through geomorphic analysis supported by field investigations involving sediment sampling and ground-penetrating radar imaging of the subsurface. In contrast to ground-based field studies, our airborne lidar intercomparison is the first time we can document the three-dimensional evolution of the MDV in close to its entirety.

2. Regional setting

The MDV (~77°S, −162°E) are composed of a series of largely east-west trending valleys that separate the East Antarctic Ice Sheet from the Ross Sea (Fig. 1). The MDV encompass a region ~22,700 km² in area, of which ~4500 km² is permafrost-affected soils and bedrock free of surface ice (Levy, 2012). The remainder of the area is covered by perennial snow packs, outleet glaciers, alpine glaciers, and perennially ice-covered lakes. A lack of vascular plants or continuous hydrological activity (e.g., rainfall, streamflow) means that the distribution of microclimates in the MDV exerts an unusually strong control on the landscape evolution (Marchant and Head III, 2007). Cold temperatures and lack of an energized hydrological cycle result in a largely stable landscape, with stability increasing with elevation and distance inland. Indeed, the largest advance of the local alpine glaciers was only a few hundred meters at most and occurred 70–130 thousand years ago (Higgins et al., 2000b). At high elevations surrounding the valleys, ~2000 m, bedrock erosion rates can be as low as ~0.3 m Ma⁻¹ (Brook et al., 1995; Marchant and Head III, 2007).

With a mean annual air temperature range between ~14.8° and 30.0 °C, and between 3 and 50 mm of annual precipitation (all of which falls as snow) (Doran et al., 2002a; Fountain et al., 1999, 2009), the MDV are a cold, polar desert (Monaghan et al., 2005). Despite these harsh climate conditions, an active, microbially dominated biological community inhabits the valleys, consisting of microbes and invertebrates (nematodes, tardigrades, rotifers, etc.) in the soils, cyanobacteria and mosses in the streams, and phytoplankton in the lakes, which has helped motivate long-term studies of this melt-limited landscape (Priscu et al., 1998; Adams et al., 2006; Cary et al., 2010).

Valley soils preserve the legacy of the interactions of seaward flowing outlet glaciers and/or landward encroachment of grounded Ross Sea Ice Sheet (RSIS). Together they form a complexly interfingered assembly of glacial tills and fluviolacustrine deposits (Stuiver et al., 1981; Denton et al., 1993; Hall and Denton, 2000; Hall et al., 2000; Higgins et al., 2000a, 2000b; Marchant et al., 2002; Ugolini and Bockheim, 2008). Below ~300 m elevation, the MDV are dominated by sediments and buried ice associated with infilling of the valleys by RSIS material, mapped as Ross Sea Ice, during the last glacial maximum (Stuiver et al., 1981; Hall et al., 2000, 2015). In regions above ~300 m, old surfaces (~1–8 MY) dominate and include the Quaternary Mountains, and the Asgard, and Olympus ranges (e.g., Marchant et al., 1993a, 1993b; Sugden et al., 1995).

The MDV soils are characterized by continuous permafrost with seasonal active layers forming on nearly all surfaces. Active layer thicknesses span 0–70 cm, with ~20–30 cm being most common in coastal valley bottoms in Taylor Valley, Wright Valley, and Garwood Valley (Bockheim et al., 2007). Active layers ~0–5 cm thick dominate the cold, upland, inland regions such as Beacon Valley (Marchant and Head III, 2007; Fountain et al., 2014). Active layers form over dry and ice-cemented permafrost, buried ground ice, debris-covered glaciers, and ice-cored moraines (Bockheim et al., 2007).

Permafrost is predominantly ice-cemented and ranges from ice-saturated to weakly cemented, although ‘dry-frozen,’ ice-free, permafrost is common in the upper ~1 m along high valley walls above ice-cemented permafrost (Bockheim et al., 2007). Massive buried ice (ground ice) is common and has been mapped in alpine sections of the MDV, in the Quatermain Mountains, in Victoria Valley, and in extensive ice-cored Ross Sea Drift deposits (Stuiver et al., 1981; Sugden et al., 1995; Hagedorn et al., 2010; Swanger, 2017). All three types of permafrost (dry, ice-cemented, and buried massive ice) are potentially susceptible to warming-related degradation—either directly through slumping of melt-lubricated sediments and surface ablation by melt or sublimation-driven ice removal, or indirectly, as ice-free permafrost and active layer sediments are preferentially removed by warming-induced fluvial erosion.

The thermal stability of permafrost, buried glacier ice, and other ground ice is controlled largely by regional climate conditions (Marchant and Head III, 2007; Hagedorn et al., 2010). Inland and high-elevation areas in the upland stable zone have summer air temperatures <−10 °C and minimal to absent active layer (Fountain et al., 2014; Marchant and Head III, 2007). As a consequence, ablation of ground ice in this zone is thought to be dominated by slow sublimation and vapor diffusion through dry overlying tills (Ng et al., 2005; Hagedorn et al., 2007; Kowalewski et al., 2006, 2011a, 2011b; Lacelle et al., 2013; Marinova et al., 2013). In contrast, coastal and low-elevation regions, Marchant and Head III’s (2007) coastal thaw zone, experience mean summer air temperatures warmer than −5 °C (Fountain et al., 2014), leading to widespread active layer thawing and refreezing of ground ice (Levy et al., 2011), as well as secular change in ground ice reservoirs as buried ice and frozen sediment melt (Healy, 1975; Campbell and Claridge, 2003; Levy et al., 2013a; Sudman et al., 2017).

3. Methods

3.1. Lidar processing

To detect landscape change in the MDV, we examined surface elevation change across the valleys by differencing an aerial lidar survey flown in the austral summer of 2001–2002 (Schenk et al., 2004; Csatho et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2005) from one flown in 2014–2015 (Fountain et al., 2017). The 2001–2002 survey used NASA’s Airborne Topographic Mapper system that produced a point density in the valley bottom of at least 0.14–0.32 returns m⁻² (Schenk et al., 2004; Csatho et al., 2005). The 2014–2015 survey used the Optech Titan multil wavelength airborne laser scanner (Fernandez-Diaz et al., 2016) that produced a point density of at least 2 returns m⁻². The footprint (diameter) of the laser beam was ~0.3 m for channels 1 and 2 (1550 and 1064 nm) and ~0.6 m for channel 3 (532 nm). An Optech Gemini airborne laser terrain mapper (ALTM) served as a backup to the Titan multil wavelength scanner, which uses a 1064 nm laser pulse at 33 to 166 kHz and a beam divergence of 0.25 mrad. Differential kinematic trajectories for the 2014–2015 survey were derived from a total of nine UNAVCO Global Positioning System (GPS) stations recording data at a rate of 1 Hz. The aircraft was no >40 km from any station during mapping operations.

To compare the 2001 and 2014 point clouds, the original point clouds were reprocessed using identical methods, and then irregularly spaced data in the sparser 2001 data-set were interpolated using a Delaunay triangulation. Lidar data from the 2014–2015 survey were processed in three main steps: trajectory determination, point cloud production, and point cloud processing. Differential trajectories for the aircraft were derived by blending solutions from at least three GPS stations using the KARS (Kinematic and Rapid Static) software (Mader, 1996), which were then combined with orientation information collected from the onboard inertial measurement unit. Point cloud production combined laser range data with position data to produce geolocated point clouds of laser
returns using the sensor manufacturer’s proprietary software LMS for the Titan MW and DASHmap for the Gemini. Each data return was positioned in three-dimensional space by horizontal coordinates in U.S. Geological Survey Transantarctic Mountains Projection (epsg projection 3294) and vertical coordinate in meters above the World Geodetic Survey 1984 (WGS84) ellipsoid.

The 2001 interpolated mesh was subtracted from the 2014 point cloud at the resolution of the 2014 point cloud. The results were output at a gridded difference DEM with a minimum spatial resolution of 1 × 1 m. Close inspection of the differenced values revealed some artifacts associated with the NASA data caused by a mounting bias that caused range errors to increase with increasing terrain slope (Martin et al., 2005). This bias of about ±20 cm root mean square error (RMSE) and the accuracy of the 2014–2015 data of about ±7 cm RMSE together yield an uncertainty of ±21 cm, close to our empirically derived estimate of ±25 cm. The latter was based on elimination of spurious noise in the differenced data. We applied the ±25 cm threshold to filter the differenced data before examining elevation changes. Therefore, all landscape changes reported are larger than ±25 cm. Horizontal registration uncertainties are lower. We used an iterative closest point (ICP) comparison of 500 m by 500 m blocks from Taylor Valley in areas where horizontal movement was not expected (bedrock, valley bottoms without streams, etc.) in order to assess mismatch between point clouds. More than 50,000 points were compared, producing an average easting shift of 0.011 m (standard deviation = 1.63 m) and an average northing shift of −0.009 m (standard deviation = 1.76 m). Based on the lack of a mean bias, we concluded that the data was properly horizontally registered.

Seasonal snow patches are common in MDV, and differences in patch thicknesses between lidar surveys would produce spurious elevation differences. During the 2001–2002 survey few snow patches populated the valleys as a consequence of the extremely warm air temperatures experienced that summer (Doran et al., 2008; Barrett et al., 2008). In contrast the 2014–2015 data-collection season was relatively cold and snow patches were common. To eliminate the effect of snow patch change from the differenced elevations, panchromatic Landsat 8 (Morfit et al., 2015) imagery at 15 m spatial resolution was used to identify snow patches. The imagery (LC80561162014353LGN00, 19 December 2014) was collected during the time of the 2014–2015 lidar surveys. Snow- or ice-covered pixels were identified by their reflective brightness exceeding a threshold of ±20% (Levy, 2012) and were removed from the difference elevation raster. Snow patches in the MDV tend to accumulate and persist in the same locations because of relatively consistent patterns of wind deposition and erosion of snow (Eveland et al., 2013). Elimination of the patches known to exist in 2014–2015 would also eliminate residual patches that may have survived in 2001–2002. Snow patches were not eliminated from either lake ice or glacier surfaces for which it can be difficult to algorithmically discern snow from underlying ice.

3.2. Change mapping

Landforms of interest were outlined using the 2014 DEM hillshade (Fig. 1) and were selected to explore elevation change within glacial, fluviolacustrine, and permafrost deposits. Landform type and location were used as mapping criteria—not elevation change (i.e., sites were not preselected for analysis based on elevation change between 2001 and 2014). Fluviolacustrine features (e.g., streams, lakes, ponds) were mapped to include a portion of the banks adjacent to the feature that may have changed. Buried ice landforms (e.g., the Garwood buried ice lobe; Stuiver et al., 1981) were mapped as close to the apparent landform boundary as possible. For pond and lake level change calculations, the entire perimeter was mapped based on smoothing visible in the lidar hillshade at the contact between the lake and the surrounding soil, and a transect of elevation across the long axis of the lake was extracted and averaged. For glacier thinning measurements, the upper elevations of the glaciers were not included due to the limited extent of the aerial surveys, restricting the differenced regions to the ablation zones. The location and extent of all selected features are included in the Supplementary data as ESRI ArcMap shapefiles.

Nearest-neighbor point differencing between the areas of interest within the 2001 and 2014 lidar point clouds was converted into a site-specific difference raster by assigning each cell the maximum triangulated

![Fig. 3. Gross volume loss for the 28 features of interest. Ice loss is concentrated in the RSD (Alph, Garwood, Miers, Ward, Hjorth Marshall).](image-url)
difference value within each 1 × 1 m grid cell. Note, the difference between taking the minimum point in each cell and the mean point in each cell is small, generally <5% as there was commonly only one difference point pair in any 1 × 1 m grid cell. Maximum, rather than mean subsidence was selected for this analysis because that large subsidence cells (e.g., >10 m subsidence, common in some extreme thermokarst sites) are not truncated by the matching algorithm. This approach also records aggradation, which, although not widespread in the MDV, is the dominant landscape change at some sites. Elevation differences within each feature outline were used to generate a measure of gross volume change with each landform footprint. Raster cells were summed by landform to determine net volume change within each landform footprint, and area-normalized net elevation change was determined by dividing net volume change by feature area. Surface elevation change rate was determined by dividing area-normalized net elevation change by the 13-year time interval between lidar surveys.

To estimate changes in area and volume for the glaciers and lakes, a polygon defining the perimeter of each landform was drawn at the break in slope between the landform and the surrounding soil apparent in the 2014 lidar hillshade. The point clouds for the 2001 NASA data and 2014 NCALM data were each converted to a surface of triangular cells (TIN) within their respective perimeters. Once the two surface models were created, the area of each was calculated. The volume change is the volume between the two surfaces and a prismoidal calculation between cells was used to calculate the volume (TerraModel by Terrasolid Oy).

Surface roughness was estimated on glacier, lake ice, and soil surfaces for each of the lidar surveys by calculating the elevation difference between individual points within a circle 5 m in radius and the best fit plane to those points. The RMSEs from all nonoverlapping circles contained within the landscape feature were binned according to the magnitude and the relative number within each bin. These were compared to the total population within that particular landscape feature and plotted as a function of the roughness magnitude. We examined the change in surface roughness for five glaciers, four lakes, and two soil surfaces in Taylor Valley and one soil surface in each of the McKelvey and Victoria valleys. Because of the mounting bias of the NASA lidar, we restricted the assessment of roughness change to roughnesses larger than the 25 cm threshold, which was also applied to elevation differencing.

3.3. Subsurface characterization

To assess the presence or absence of massive buried ice, ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys were implemented in 2014–2015 on surfaces from sea level to ~1 km elevation. The surveys were collected on foot using a Malå ProEx impulse system with 200 MHz unshielded
antennas. The surveys used a common-offset antenna configuration along transects with the antennas carried on a frame with minimal distance to the ground to maintain coupling with the ground. Individual GPR traces were recorded at a rate of four traces per second with each trace stacked eight times to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (Jol, 2009). Trace positions were located using a dual-frequency GPS receiver connected to the GPR and later processed using Precise-Point-Position processing (Nylen and White, 2007). Horizontal and vertical position accuracy is estimated to ±5 and ±10 cm respectively. A walking speed of ~2 km h$^{-1}$ yields an average trace spacing of ~0.2 m along the survey path. The vertical resolution is estimated to be ~0.15 m for the 200 MHz profiles based on the center frequency and sampling frequency of the radar. Survey locations were selected to test predictions (Fountain et al., 2014) on the presence or absence of ground ice (Fig. 3). The locations were based on terrain features such as moraines (possibly ice-cored—e.g., Fig. 4) and flat valley floors indicating the absence of thermokarst. The locations were also chosen to cover large elevation ranges and different slopes and slope orientations.

The post-processing of the GPR data was kept to a minimum; a Butterworth bandpass filter with cut off frequencies at 30 and 300 MHz were used to remove noise. A background removal filter was applied to remove antenna ringing effects. Conversion of two-way travel-time of the radar wave-to-depth was done using velocities estimated from hyperbolic fitting of presumed point reflectors (Jol, 2009). The presence of massive ground ice is indicated by few internal reflectors (compared to the noisy surrounding rocky strata) and a distinct reflection at the base of the inferred ice (Fig. 4). The reflection is interpreted as the interface between the massive ground ice and the geological strata below.

4. Geomorphic results

Here we present observations of landscape change in the MDV based on changes derived from differencing the TIN surfaces of each lidar survey and roughness calculations (Figs. 3, 5–6). Positioning irregularities in the 2001 lidar point cloud, coupled with nonidentical spatial extents of the 2001 and 2014 points cloud resulted in an uncertainty in elevation differences of ±25 cm, as described previously. Most differences across the valleys were smaller than this threshold precluding a dataset-wide comparison of elevation change. Instead we focus on regions where changes exceeded this threshold and report on 20 glaciers, 26 ice-covered pond and lake sites, 28 soil and stream sites, and migration of 28 selected dunes.

4.1. Glaciers

The ablation zones of 20 glaciers in nine valleys were surveyed. These included five glaciers in Taylor Valley, five in Wright Valley, five in the Garwood, Marshall, and Miers valleys, two in McKelvey Valley, and one in each of the Barwick, Beacon, and Victoria valleys (Table 1). Changes to snow cover are thought to be small in these locations, as lidar points are in the glacier ablation zones where snow cover is ephemeral and patchy. Instead, glacier surface change is dominated by the translation of the surficial ridge and swale topography (Telling et al., 2017). Glacier ablation zones have largely thinned over the 14-year period with mean elevation changes ranging from −2.1 m at Miers Glacier in Miers Valley to −0.28 m at Victoria Lower glacier. All ablation zones have thinned, most of which exceed uncertainty, except for four glaciers. For these latter glaciers the uncertainty well exceeds thickening except for Taylor Glacier, which equals its uncertainty (±0.40 ± 0.41 m). All glaciers examined are relatively small alpine glaciers with the exception of Taylor, which is an outlet glacier of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet. In addition to the changes in elevation of the glaciers’ ablation zones, glaciers also smoothed between 2001 and 2014 (Fig. 6).

4.2. Lakes and ponds

Ponds and lakes in the MDV are widespread (see Supplementary map and table) across all microclimate zones and can be broadly divided into closed and open basins based on whether they have an outlet channel. Across the MDV, most closed-basin lake/ponds of all sizes (10$^2$–10$^7$ m$^2$)

![Fig. 5. Net elevation change rate (m y$^{-1}$) for 28 examined features. Volume change is average elevation change over the footprint of the feature. Note that Alph Lake (−0.1 m y$^{-1}$) is truncated on this plot.](image-url)
have risen (Fig. 7). Notably, increased levels are present across all microclimate zones, from the coastal thaw zone to the upland stable zone. For example, some closed-basin lakes (~10^7 m²) have risen by over 4 m (Fig. 7). We interpret an increase in closed-basin lake/pond levels as evidence of transfer of water reservoirs from cryosphere sources (glaciers, ground ice, and snow) to the hydrosphere (lakes and ponds).

In contrast, changing levels in open-basin lakes are not uniform and exhibit rising and falling trends (Fig. 7). Open-basin lake levels are transient as a consequence of drainage through either ice dams or topographic relief (e.g., Lake Miers, Buddha Lake) and have been observed to rise and rapidly drain when ice dams fail or the topographic spill point is reached, leading to downstream flooding (Dickson et al., 2015; Fountain et al., 2014; Levy et al., 2013a). Such lakes may appear to rise or fall depending on when in their seasonal filling and draining cycle they were surveyed, consequently open-basin lakes/ponds cannot be considered good indicators of climate-related elevation change in this study. Likewise, thermokarst ponds that are confined by glacier ice or ice-cored glacial till (e.g., Alph Lake) may be lowering because of melting of the underlying ice, even if the overlying water depth has not changed.

Fig. 6. Frequency histogram of roughness as a function of vertical offset (m) between each point and points in the surrounding 5 m, for the NASA and NCALM surveys at Suess Glacier, Lake Bonney, and the valley floor of eastern Taylor Valley. Gray shading indicates roughness below the ±25 cm uncertainty threshold for intercomparison between NASA and NCALM data sets.
4.3. Stream and soil sites

We found substantial elevation changes at the 28 soil, stream, and basin locations surveyed. Each site consists of ice-cemented or ice-cored permafrost and active layer soil that has interacted with meltwater in distinct ways. The largest gross volume losses are at sites associated with ice-cored Ross Sea Drift (RSD): the Alph Lake basin, the Garwood lobe, the Garwood River, Miers River, Ward Stream, the Hjorth Hills area, and Marshall Valley (Fig. 3). These sites each have experienced the loss of 10^7 to 10^8 m^3 of ice and soil between surveys. These sites are largely in the coastal thaw zone at elevations typically below 300 m. Elevation change at these sites is associated with the formation of thermokarst ponds (Alph Lake, Garwood Lobe) or the deep incision by streams into buried ice deposits (Ward Stream, Garwood River, Miers and Marshall rivers, Commonwealth Stream) and the formation of thaw slumps or scarps (e.g., the Garwood Valley ice cliff, Levy et al., 2013a).

Sites exhibit a wide range of gross volume loss between surveys (Fig. 3). Alph Lake, a thermokarst pond basin with steep banks of exposed ice overlain by dark, volcanic sediments (Fig. 8), has the largest gross volume loss; while the smallest gross erosion site is Seuss Glacier Gully, a small thermoerosional gully (e.g., Fortier et al., 2007) adjacent to Seuss Glacier. Located at ~288 m elevation, the Seuss Glacier Gully is then found to have the second largest area-volume loss. However, the Seuss Glacier Gully is the most actively melting and eroding landform; however, the uncertainty is derived from the root mean square error of elevations from multiple control area around each glacier.

4.4. Other landscape changes

In terms of roughness, MDV surfaces are generally smoother (lower roughness) in 2014 than in 2001 (Fig. 6). Roughness on Seuss Glacier, Lake Bonney, and eastern Taylor Valley soil surfaces are confined to smaller magnitudes in the NCALM data-set, which could result either from smoothing of ice surfaces during widespread melting in 2001–2002 (Gooseff et al., 2017) or from the formation of enhanced roughness at small scales through channel incision. For soil surfaces, we interpret the roughness changes not to reflect changes to the soil/permafrost surface, but rather, to reflect enhanced snow cover during the 2014 survey, which was excluded from volume change calculations (see Section 3.1).

In addition, the landscape changes described above, two other landforms warrant consideration: sand dunes in Victoria Valley (Fig. 11) and the Onyx River braidedplain (Fig. 12). These surfaces provide flow over surfaces with pockets of massive ground ice, as is common in RSD, erosion can reach several meters in locations where excess ice is still preserved.

Similar stream erosion patterns are observed in Taylor Valley. Surface elevation change for stream channels and banks in central Taylor Valley below 300 m elevation (Wormherder Creek, Lake Bonney area, Crescent and Delta streams, etc.) is generally small, except where patchy, massive ground ice is present that is associated with locally large volume loss (Fig. 10) (Sudman et al., 2017). In contrast, where widespread, largely intact RSD buried ice is present (e.g., Commonwealth Stream), incision can reach several meters over long reaches of the stream channel (Fig. 10).

In contrast, some study sites are actively aggrading (Fig. 5). Positive volume changes (aggradation) occur primarily on alluvial fan surfaces, such as Bull Pass area and Upper Victoria Valley stream, or in extremely sediment-rich fluvial channels, such as the proglacial McKnight/Lost Seal system that dissects a sand sheet at the toe of Commonwealth Glacier. In these sites, sediments from upslope are inferred to be undergoing deposition in the study site.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
<th>Volume change (m³)</th>
<th>Volume change uncertainty (m³)</th>
<th>Mean elevation change (m)</th>
<th>Mean elevation change uncertainty (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taylor Valley sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth</td>
<td>7,819,365</td>
<td>−2,940,299</td>
<td>±1,117,314</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>±0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>8,742,436</td>
<td>−5,134,633</td>
<td>±1,951,161</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>±0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suea</td>
<td>1,906,315</td>
<td>−1,106,882</td>
<td>±365,271</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhone</td>
<td>1,653,101</td>
<td>−1,189,712</td>
<td>±380,708</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>88,993,027</td>
<td>35,866,247</td>
<td>±14,705,161</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright Valley sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartley</td>
<td>1,610,403</td>
<td>−1,166,994</td>
<td>±404,024</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,216,454</td>
<td>−539,125</td>
<td>±156,346</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>1,186,942</td>
<td>−272,933</td>
<td>±79,151</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodspeed</td>
<td>2,519,629</td>
<td>42,604</td>
<td>±12,355</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton</td>
<td>3,237,322</td>
<td>351,920</td>
<td>±116,134</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMM Valleys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garwood</td>
<td>3,943,529</td>
<td>−4,438,757</td>
<td>±2,485,704</td>
<td>−1.13</td>
<td>±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miers</td>
<td>4,773,513</td>
<td>−10,005,749</td>
<td>±5,202,989</td>
<td>−2.10</td>
<td>±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce</td>
<td>1,559,768</td>
<td>−268,387</td>
<td>±118,090</td>
<td>−1.72</td>
<td>±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>2,045,894</td>
<td>−3,253,292</td>
<td>±715,724</td>
<td>−1.59</td>
<td>±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barwick Valley sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barwick</td>
<td>4,090,972</td>
<td>−1,788,556</td>
<td>±769,079</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon Valley sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman</td>
<td>1,928,724</td>
<td>−447,487</td>
<td>±116,347</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Valley sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Upper</td>
<td>13,617,209</td>
<td>76,887</td>
<td>±22,297</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Lower</td>
<td>5,385,597</td>
<td>−1,502,969</td>
<td>±450,889</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packard</td>
<td>336,000</td>
<td>−181,870</td>
<td>±49,105</td>
<td>−0.54</td>
<td>±0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The mean elevation change is the volume change divided by the area. Mean changes smaller than ±25 cm are not distinguishable from data set intercomparison uncertainty. Reported uncertainty is derived from the root mean square error of elevations from multiple control area around each glacier.
information of landscape evolution in the MDV in which sediment transport, rather than ice loss, dominates. As with permafrost, glacier, and stream results reported above, these measurements provide a new baseline for future landform monitoring studies.

Sand saltation flux in the MDV is highest in Victoria Valley (Gillies et al., 2013), resulting in rapidly moving bedforms (1.5 m y$^{-1}$) based on the 2001/2002 lidar survey and on 1961 aerial photography (Bourke et al., 2009), which may result from enhanced sediment transport during the austral summers when sand is less cohesive as a consequence of moisture/ice ablation within the sand grains (Bristow et al., 2010). Victoria Valley, similarly to other McMurdo valleys, is subjected to bimodal wind direction with predominantly westerly winds during the austral winters and easterly winds during the austral summers (Nylen and Fountain, 2004).

Two notable dune fields are present in the Victoria Valley: whaleback dunes located along the center of the valley axis and Packard dunes adjacent to the north side of the valley (Bristow et al., 2010). The changes in both landforms are visible in lidar elevation change observations as alternating aggradation/subsidence bands resulting from migration of the bedforms. In elevation difference images (e.g., Fig. 11), bedforms migrate in the direction of negative to positive-color-coded change, showing elevation loss where the dune crest was and elevation gain where it has

---

**Fig. 7.** Elevation changes of lakes and ponds in the McMurdo Dry Valleys between 2001 and 2002 and 2014–2015 lidar surveys.

---

**Fig. 8.** Ground view of Alph Lake basin. Debris-covered (RSD) ice is melting to form a thermokarst lake at this site. Cliff face is ~22 m tall. Photo credit: Logan Schmidt.
migrated to. Notably, two adjacent dune fields in eastern Victoria Valley (Fig. 11) show opposite senses of migration over a ~1 km bend in the valley resulting from a combination of topographic wind-shadowing and seasonal wind flow directions (Bristow et al., 2010). Packard dunes migrate westward due to the combination of topographic sheltering (solar radiation) and a predominant exposure to easterly winds (Bristow et al., 2010). Conversely, topographically exposed whaleback dunes, located in the center of the valley, are influenced by strong westerly katabatic and foehn winds; consequently they migrate eastward (Bristow et al., 2010). The dichotomy in migration direction of proximally located dune fields within the same valley highlights the complex surface process interactions with microclimate that can be resolved by this data-set.

The 2014–2015 lidar survey allows us to provide an update on previously quantified migration rates of the Packard dune field only (for which previous migration rate data exist). Mean distance between dune crests were determined using the following method: i) selected dune crests were outlined based on hillshade DEMs from both lidar surveys, ii) the polylines of the 2001 survey were converted to points (Feature Vertices to Points tool in ArcGIS), iii) distance between points and polylines were obtained (Near tool in ArcGIS), and iv) mean distances were calculated using the Summary Statistics tool in ArcGIS. Between the 2001–2002 and 2014–2015 lidar surveys, an average migration rate of Packard dunes was 1.4 m y⁻¹, which is consistent with the previously published migration rate of 1.5 m y⁻¹ (Bourke et al., 2009).

Finally, the Onyx River (the longest river in Antarctica) was not included in the above change detection observations owing to incomplete coverage of the river in the 2001 point cloud and notably sparse and noisy coverage near its terminus in Lake Vanda. However, where NASA and NCALM data sets overlap, details of channel morphology change can be observed (Fig. 12). The Onyx consists largely of braided and sinuous channel reaches with actively changing alternate bars—however, possible point bar growth and incipient meander formation are visible in some locations (Fig. 12). If future observations of the

---

Fig. 9. Elevation and surface elevation change for Ward Stream. Ward’s upper reaches cross ice-cemented permafrost, while its downstream ~600 m of flow path cross ice-cored drift surfaces. Note that the ~300 m elevation contour (maximum RSD elevation) and the ~1500 m along-track marker coincide, marking a transition from little elevation change to moderate. Large elevation changes occur where ice-cored drift material is fully intact and actively being eroded (gray box).
Onyx River channel show that these reaches are evolving as meanders, it would suggest that meanders can evolve in fluvial systems in which bank cohesion is not provided by vegetation (Braudrick et al., 2009), which is absent at this site, but rather by ice-cemented permafrost.

5. GPR results

Buried ice masses with few to no internal reflectors are common in the MDV (Fig. 13) and are concentrated near valley bottoms. By fractional track length, ~50% of ice identifications were located at or below 100 m elevation (representing ~36% of 2014 survey track length), and ~94% were at or below 350 m elevation (on ~89% of track length)—typically taken as the maximum RSD elevation (Hall et al., 2015). More buried ice appears to be present in the coastal regions, consistent with the more frequent presence of thermokarst, which is presumed to reflect buried RSIS that intruded into the valleys, most recently during the LGM. For example, divers at New Harbor, Taylor Valley documented an underwater ice wall adjacent to the shore (Stockton, 1983; Stockton et al., 1984). The GPR transects from the shore inland are initially blocked by strong signal attenuation resulting from a high salt content, after which buried ice is detected. Valley-bottom buried ice extends 1.7–1.8 km inland and thickens until the penetration limit of the GPR was reached.

Along the MDV coastline, notably rough terrain was observed associated with buried ice GPR detections, compared to smoother terrain associated with the absence of buried ice detections. Morphologically similar surfaces are present along the coast of both the central (Taylor Valley) and southern MDV (Fig. 13). Based on the field observations and GPR data, we infer and outline the extent of buried ice along the shorelines of the valleys, as shown in Fig. 13.

6. Discussion

Repeat lidar imaging of the MDV in 2001 and 2014 has revealed the presence of widespread changes at the landscape scale. Glaciers are thinning and smoothing, streams are incising deeply into buried ice, thermokarst ponds are forming and expanding, and a hydrologic transition from water storage in ice to water storage in streams, lakes, runoff, and groundwater is occurring. Here we discuss these changes to the MDV landscape, their potential causes, and their relationship to cryosphere landscape change observed globally.

![Elevation Change](image)

**Fig. 10.** Subsidence (reds and yellows) associated with nearby GPR-detected buried ice (pink) and nondetections (green). Where no difference color-coding is shown, elevation change is 0.25 cm. (a) Garwood Valley buried ice lobe, (b) Delta Stream, (c) Commonwealth Stream, and (d) Suess Glacier Gully. GPR tracks in panel A use data from (Fountain et al., 2014); panels b, c, and d show tracks from this study. Panel (d) shows side-by-side lidar hillshade with subsidence (left) and the unaugmented hillshade (right), highlighting the margins of the debris-covered glacier (DCG) or rock glacier (RG) lobate landform in which the Suess Glacier Gully gully is forming. Positive elevation change in a) is associated with thermokarst pond filling and slumping deposition of sediments in steep-sided pond banks.
With two airborne lidar campaigns, is it possible to determine whether landscape change measured by this study was: i) instantaneous (all change occurred in one episode, for example the 2001–2002 flood year), ii) episodic (change occurs in punctuated periods of activity, for example, during one or two weeks each year of the austral summer), or iii) steady state (change is incremental and nearly uniform over time)? Based on hydrological and ground-based lidar observations collected during the period between the two airborne surveys, we argue that the subsidence and pond/lake level change we observe is episodic in nature.

The MDV is still responding to the austral summer of 2001–2002 (Doran et al., 2008; Fountain et al., 2016b; Gooseff et al., 2017). While closed-basin lake level rises associated with the 2001–2002 summer and illustrated in Doran et al. (2008) are dramatic (tens of centimeters in one summer), they are part of a decades-long trend in lake level rise in the MDV noted by other authors (e.g., Castendyk et al., 2016) (Fig. SD). These multiyear observations suggest ongoing, episodic transfer of water from sources (glaciers, snow, and ground ice) to lakes and ponds, which has been occurring throughout the study interval. Likewise, landscape changes such as subsidence have been occurring at an ongoing (and in places, accelerating) rate in locations such as Garwood Valley, with incremental ice loss in the $10^3$–$10^4$ of m$^3$/y occurring every summer over a 2009 to 2012 study interval (Levy et al., 2013b) (Figs. SB, SC). Finally, interannual changes in ice loss can be observed at Alph Lake that span 1983 to 2017 (Fig. SD).

Together, we interpret these past studies and observations to indicate that melting and erosion are episodic in the MDV, occurring predominantly during summer months, but with some interannual variability. Erosion rates measured in glaciated regions are commonly reported in m/y, despite strong episodicity in these polar and alpine environments (e.g., Ganti et al., 2016). Accordingly, we report average net rates to be consistent with investigations in other glacial environments (Fig. 5), although they should be interpreted as integrations of nonuniform rates, rather than evidence of a continuous erosion process.

Glacier mass balance change stands out as an area where the 2001 and 2014 surveys cannot fully resolve change. Unfortunately, the lidar surveys were restricted to glacier ablation zones and did not cover any glacier entirely. Therefore, these changes cannot be considered glacier volume changes and cannot be compared directly to mass balance observations in the MDV (Fountain et al., 2016a). Commonwealth Glacier is the only glacier surveyed that also has a mass balance record. Over the period of time from November 2001 to November 2013, the glacier lost...
a total of $-0.95 \pm 1.13$ m water equivalent of ice that is consistent with a thinning of its ablation zone of $-0.38 \pm 0.38$ m. One glacier had a thickened ablation zone: Taylor ($+0.40 \pm 0.41$ m). Thickening ablation zones typically indicate a mass gain for a glacier. Although ablation in the lower part of Taylor Glacier has decreased slightly over the period between surveys, it is insufficient to explain the observed thickening. Moreover, the glacier has not advanced during this period. The timescale response of the glacier exceeds well over $10^3$ years, suggesting that the thickening could be a response to a climate perturbation in the distant past (Jóhannesson et al., 1989; Harrison et al., 2001; Roe and O’Neal, 2009; Ganti et al., 2016).

We argue that the observed smoothing of glacier and lake surfaces is real and not a result of different population of points between the two scans. As a test, the point data generated by NCALM was randomly sampled to match the number of points in the NASA data. The relative frequency of roughness was recalculated yielding no significant differences. We conjecture that the smoothing of the ice surfaces is a result of the 2001–2002 summer when air temperatures reached 4 °C for about a two-week period (Doran et al., 2008). During this period, ablation via melt was extensive, tens of centimeters on many lakes and glaciers. This ablation smoothed the icy surfaces, removing the larger roughness features. We conjecture that steep, high-angle surfaces were subject to greater total insolation, resulting in enhanced melting, and also had been darkened through capture of windblown sediment, further enhancing insolation-driven melting. The meltwater during this period filled in the depressions and froze in the latter part of the summer when the heat wave dissipated and air temperatures again cooled below freezing. Because lidar collection in 2001 largely did not capture this melt pulse (Fig. 14), we can only report on changes detectable through comparison of our two point clouds, making it impossible to precisely determine timing at finer temporal scales.

In contrast to the exposed ice surfaces of lakes and glaciers that are sensitive to episodic weather events, soil and stream bank site change appears to be largely substrate-controlled. As noted above, the largest gross volume changes in soil and streams locations are observed in areas with buried ice (e.g., Alph Lake in the Ross Sea Drift, Garwood Valley, Commonwealth Stream). The same is true for the fastest erosion rates—although it is notable that the second fastest rate measured in this study is in a small thermoerosional gully in Taylor Valley (Suess Glacier Gully). We infer that the rapid apparent subsidence rate results from the small spatial footprint of the landform coupled with the concentrated ice loss and runoff of meltwater at the site.

What connects many of the largest gross erosion sites is the presence of shallow buried ice deposits (Fig. 10). Stream thermokarst erosion has generated large topographic subsidences in ice-cored surfaces, such as the Ross Sea Drift (RSD), which fills much of the mouths of many of the MDV (e.g., Miers, Marshall, Garwood, and Taylor valleys) below ~300 m elevation. In contrast, inland streams and those outside of RSD

![Fig. 12. Two reaches of the Onyx River downslope of the Clark Glacier study site (see Fig. 1). Image sets a/b/c and d/e/f are lidar hillshades from 2001 and 2014, respectively, coupled with elevation change. Note potential evolution of alternate bars, A in panel (b), in the main channel in a braided section of the river. Panels c and d show possible point-bar like changes to the Onyx channel, P, where incision (elevation loss) has occurred on the outside bank of the river, with small amounts of deposition (bar growth) on the inner bank of a bend.](image-url)
buried ice areas (e.g., in Wright Valley) are not incising deeply, and typ-
ically channel-average erosion rates are not more than a few mm y$^{-1}$.
Streams in areas with high sand fluxes and little buried ice (e.g., Victoria Valley, Lost Seal) show net aggradation or sediment deposition.

Rapid and large-scale formation of thermokarst ponds and thermoerosional stream channels suggests that many portions of the MDV may be experiencing a transition to Arctic-like thermokarst morphologies. Like Farquharson et al. (2016), we see subsidence across soil types and landforms, with the primary control being the presence of massive buried ice. Unlike (Farquharson et al., 2016), we see subsidence in low-lying, low-slope areas with impeded drainage (e.g., Alph Lake, the Garwood Valley RSD lobe) and also high on steep valley walls (e.g., Suess Glacier Gully). This highlights the continued importance of insolation-driven melting in the MDV, where steep slopes with overlying or overhanging sediment are exposed to near-normal summertime illumination, leading to the potential for rapid meltout of high elevation ice deposits on steep slopes (Conovitz et al., 1998; Levy et al., 2013a).

![Fig. 13. Ground penetrating radar tracks. Green indicates no massive ice detected and pink indicates the presence of buried ice. Orange shading indicates inferred extent of buried ice associated with the Ross Sea Drift, after Stuiver et al. (1981), modified based on field observations and GPR buried ice detections.](image)

![Fig. 14. Summer air temperatures at Lake Hoare in 2001–2002. Lidar data were collected prior to the late season melt event (marked with an arrow) described by Doran et al. (2008) and Gooseff et al. (2017).](image)
6.1. Proposed mechanisms controlling regional landscape change

We interpret these landscape changes to indicate that physical and hydrological processes associated with heat capture and transfer into the subsurface are widespread in the MDV. At the top of MDV watersheds, glaciers appear to be thinning and darkening, resulting in increased runoff into stream channels. Numerical modeling of short-wavelength insolation capture indicates that albedo reductions of as little as 3% are sufficient to explain observed changes in stream discharge (e.g., Hoffman et al., 2016).

Streams and groundwater in the MDV function as important pathways for heat. Where ground ice is present in MDV stream banks, we observe thermokarst erosion, bank undercutting, and release of nutrients, solutes, and sediments into streams (Gooseff et al., 2016; Sudman et al., 2017). Energy collected from glacial runoff is reaching MDV lakes and raising lake heat content (Gooseff et al., 2017).

Subsidence, sediment mobilization, and glacier-ground ice melting may be beginning to drive positive feedbacks across the MDV landscape. When sediment on glacier ablation zones reduces albedo, solar energy absorption and melt increase (Rippin et al., 2015; Hoffman et al., 2016) adding to surface fine-scale roughness, which slows the wind speed and captures more eolian sediment, further reducing albedo and heat loss to the atmosphere. Similar feedbacks exist for active layer soils. When soils become wetted, either within stream and lake margin hyporheic zones, or within water tracks or thermokarst drainage pathways, soil thermal diffusivity can rise two- to eightfold (Levy and Schmidt, 2016), leading to enhanced delivery of heat to buried ice in poorly drained soils. This exacerbates melt rates in stream hyporheic zones and in wet active layer soils. Together, we interpret these processes as potentially self-reinforcing drivers of the observed landscape changes in the MDV. Hallmarks of this change in MDV hydrogeology are i) enhanced heat transport into lakes, frozen ground, and other cold reservoirs in the MDV that have been largely unchanged since LGM time or earlier; and ii) a transition of water storage from ground ice and glaciers to lakes and ponds.

We propose that two related processes are responsible for the landscape changes observed: one trend operating at decadal timescales and another discrete event triggering a decadal geomorphic response. First, between 1991 and 2000, mean summer solar radiation in MDV increased by 25% where it remained at elevated levels until 2012 (Obryk et al., 2018). Although mean summer air temperatures were cooling through this period by almost 1°C (Doran et al., 2002b; Gooseff et al., 2017), the increase in solar energy more than compensated for sensible heat flux loss, at least for the sediment-covered surfaces with comparatively lower albedo, and for wetted sediment surfaces.

The triggering event was a short warm episode during mid-summer 2001–2002. A drainage wind event adiabatically warmed the atmosphere in the MDV to temperatures several degrees above freezing and the temperatures remained elevated for almost two weeks (Doran et al., 2008). During that period glacier ice rapidly melted, exposing sediment entombed in the subsurface; and streams and rivers flooded to a scale seen only twice before in the instrumented record since 1970 (Castendyk et al., 2016). During this period lake and pond levels rose rapidly. The fast, relatively warm stream flow; mechanically and thermally eroded the streambeds down to the ice-cemented permafrost boundary beneath the streambeds. Where massive ice was encountered, rapid erosion occurred followed by bank collapse and further erosion continued tunneling through the massive ice in some stream reaches (e.g., Garwood River). These processes set in motion a sequence of geomorphic changes that have continued for another decade.

We suggest that in the following years—under conditions of elevated solar radiation, constant summer air temperatures (Gooseff et al., 2017), and increased heat content of streams—landscape changes continued, partly responding to the flood event of 2001–2002 and partially from the elevated solar radiation. Insolation-driven glacial melt discharge was elevated despite constantly cool temperatures, contributing to continually increasing lake and pond levels.

7. Conclusions

Repeat lidar measurements of glacial, soil, stream, and lake surfaces in the McMurdo Dry Valleys show evidence of widespread ground subsidence, glacial ablation zone thinning and smoothing, and lake/pond level rise. The GPR observations indicate that soil subsidence is strongly associated with the presence of excess ground ice in the form of ice-cored moraines and tills. While not all locations of massive ground ice were found to be subject to subsidence, all notable subsidence observed is associated with massive ground ice. Thinning of glacier ablation zone surfaces along with thermokarst subsidence of soil surfaces suggest the possibility of widespread positive melting feedbacks, where melting of glacier surfaces and wetting of soil surfaces results in additional heat capture and delivery to ice, resulting in further darkening and melting of glacier surfaces and wetting and darkening of soil surfaces. While landscape changes are concentrated in locations with excess ground ice in the coastal thaw zone, changes to lakes and ponds are occurring across microclimate zones in the MDV. This expansion of thermokarst disruption and glacial change provides the physical backdrop for interpreting biotic change in the MDV, even in the stable upland zone. The widespread presence of massive ground ice indicates that many surfaces in the MDV will potentially undergo rapid (years to decades) subsidence of decimeters to decameters, resulting in changes to drainage, energy balance, and soil structure. Such potential for rapid and widespread change in coming years suggests that collection of topographic measurements on finer timescales than once per decade may be necessary to effectively manage the MDV natural laboratory and to prioritize melt-threatened sites for research before they are transformed by melting and subsidence.
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